LIAISON

9 April 1955

London Bureau, FRIS c/o American Estassy \$1 Grosvenor Square London W. 1, England

Subj: RFB Requirements

Dear Alani

Phil recently requested that I forward the attached examents to John Campbell, along with an expression of thanks for the RPB opportunity to crient him during his recent visit him. I hesitate to mail the comments directly to Campbell, partly because his private remarks to me after his afternoon with RPB indicated that he came amy somewhat unconvinced and the comments might therefore not produce the desired result.

I I believe that it would be more effective if they were given to Campbell in person, as examples of the points on which we are asking our London staff to consult more frequently with the EBC.

	Sincerely,			

RGS:eat

<u>Attachment</u>

STAT

STAT



9 April 1955

## CHRONIC PROBLEMS OF MUNITORING FOR ANALYSIS PURPOSES

- 1. A major FRIS effort frequently utilized by the American intelligence community senters on quantitative measurement of Soviet propaganda thomas and description of propaganda techniques. Toward this end a stable and accurate sample of Soviet breadcasts is essential, and to a large extent this is now obtained through the Gaily lists of communitary-type material breadcast by Soviet transmitters supplied by FRIS bureaus and the EBC. In maintaining a high level of accuracy, FRIS currently wires about one query daily to London regarding those lists. These queries are confined to items on which information from other sources casts doubt; to avoid imposing an excessive checking burden, the several items weekly on which experience alone casts suspicion are rarely quarted. BBC's contribution to those lists has in the past been largely commondable, but could be improved along three lines:
  - a. Reporting from Boveit nemecasts. Only a few distinct and easily identifiable news items ever need be reported for listing purposes—i.e., Soviet notes, official decrees and two or three other stipulated categories. The degree of accuracy and completeness in present reporting is such as to seriously reduce the value of this information; when generalisations or arguments utilizing the beaming of those news items are now formulated, inevitably further time-consuming checking in the field is required. About half of all queries to London pertain to the reporting of news items.
  - b. Listing of reports. Whenever Moscow rebroadcasts a commentary, a notation to that effect is needed. About one-third of all queries are necessitated simply because of failure to report rebroadcasts.
  - c. Describing comment. A brief description of each commentary to reveal subject matter, aspects discussed and the area concerned is needed. Lack of this information inevitably results in FRIB compilers in London requesting amplifications, and currently it is necessary to make three or four such requests daily.
- 2. For propaganda analysis accurate translations are vital; while some RMC Russian monitors have set standards worthy of FRIS emulation, others apparently have not exhibited the same capability. In spite of unusual processions taken when an especially complex analysis turns on a single word or phrase, seemingly innocuous translation lapses scentimes

become painfully evident. To illustrate: In Molotov's 8 Pebruary Supreme soviet appear a reference to shortcomings in Satallite construction was mistranalated shortcomings in government; the mistranalation was utilized as evidence in an interpretation of the Magy-Rakosi dispute, causing subsequent embarrasement to FMIS. In December 1954 a reference in the Khrushchev-Bernal interview to movy hows was translated saw agricultural program instead of the politically significant (and correct) term new course. In June 1954 a reference in the Soviet agricultural decision to grain crops was reported in connection with the link system of labor organization, a procedure specifically condemned by the communist Party earlier and the key issue in the fall of A.A. Andrew and the rise of finushchev to control over agriculture before Dtalin's death. Actually the reference was to row crops, and FMIS was required to print a retraction.

Perhaps the present methods of rechecking important pronouncements could be further refined.

3. Prequently it is necessary to check one broadcast version of a communitary or important statement against another version in order to determine special emphasis or "tailoring" for an audience. Since current reporting is one primary FRIS function, the rather consistent three to four day lag in obtaining these checks detracts from their value. Possibly some explanation is offered by the rather widespread misconception that such variations are not meaningful. At any rate all such requests are screened with exceptional care before being forwarded and prompt fulfillment is essential.